




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 5 : G06F 15/24		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 93/15467 (43) International Publication Date: 5 August 1993 (05.08.93)
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/US93/00916</p> <p>(22) International Filing Date: 2 February 1993 (02.02.93)</p> <p>(30) Priority data: 07/830,408 3 February 1992 (03.02.92) US</p> <p>(60) Parent Application or Grant (63) Related by Continuation US 07/830,408 (CON) Filed on 3 February 1992 (03.02.92)</p> <p>(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): FOREIGN EXCHANGE TRANSACTION SERVICES, INC. [US/US]; c/o Quotron Foreign Exchange, 1 Court Square, 11th Floor, Long Island City, NY 11120 (US).</p>		<p>(72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only) : TOGHER, Michael [US/US]; 333 Rector Place, Apt. 10-K, New York City, NY 10280 (US). DUNNE, Michael, F. [US/US]; 603 Monroe Street, Boonton, NJ 07005 (US). HARTHEIMER, Richard [US/US]; 29 Morain Road, Morris Plains, NJ 07950 (US).</p> <p>(74) Agent: MAY, John; Robbins, Dalgarn, Berliner & Carson, 201 N. Figueroa Street, 5th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90012 (US).</p> <p>(81) Designated States: AU, CA, JP, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).</p> <p>Published With international search report.</p>	

(54) Title: CREDIT MANAGEMENT FOR ELECTRONIC BROKERAGE SYSTEM



(57) Abstract

An anonymous trading system (Fig. 1) identifies the best bids and offers (QuoteSubmit, Fig. 3) from those counterparties (WS A1a1) with which each party (WS A1b1, WS A1b2, ... WS A2a2) is currently eligible to deal, while maintaining the anonymity of the potential counterparty and the confidentiality of any specific credit limitations imposed by the anonymous potential counterparty. To that end, each bid or offer (QuoteSubmit, Fig. 3) for a particular type of financial instrument is prescreened by the system for compatibility with limited credit information (for example, a one bit flag indicating whether a predetermined limit has already been exceeded) and an anonymous "Dealable" price (24, 26) is calculated for each of the traders (WS A1b, ... WS A2a) dealing with that particular financial instrument.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GB	United Kingdom	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	JP	Japan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SK	Slovak Republic
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LJ	Liechtenstein	SN	Senegal
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SU	Soviet Union
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
DK	Denmark	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
ES	Spain	MN	Mongolia	VN	Viet Nam

CREDIT MANAGEMENT FOR ELECTRONIC BROKERAGE SYSTEM

2

TECHNICAL FIELD

4

The present invention relates generally to a electronic brokerage system having a communication network connecting traders dealing in financial instruments, and more particularly to a computerized system for distributing anonymous price quotes on a selective basis in accordance with previously established credit limits.

10

BACKGROUND ART

12

Reuters' published European patent applications EP 399 850, EP 407 026, and EP 411 748 disclose an automated matching system for anonymous trading of foreign currencies (or other financial instruments) in which a single host computer maintains a central data base consisting of all the trading instruments available for trade, credit information, and the various bids and offers that are present throughout the system. The host computer uses the information in its central data base to match active bids and offers (as well as executing any transitory "hit bid" and "take offer" transactions) based on matching criteria which include the gross counterparty credit limit between counterparties to a potential matching transaction, price, and available quantity. To that end, each client site establishes and may subsequently vary or reset a credit limit for each possible counterparty, which is used by the host computer to establish the gross counterparty credit limit for each possible pair of parties and which is equal to the minimum of the remaining credit (initial credit limit less any applicable transactions that have already been executed) from the first party to the second party and from the second party to the first party. The host computer blocks completion of an otherwise eligible matching transaction between a given pair of potential counterparties when the transaction has an associated value in excess of the applicable gross credit limit. In that system, the various client site computers (keystations) merely maintain and display a restricted subset of the information available at the central

computer, such as a predetermined number of the best bids and offers, and
2 communicate credit and other transaction oriented information to the host
computer for execution. However, in an attempt to preserve the anonymity
4 of the parties, the client sites do not have access to any credit limits set by
their possible counterparties, or even to the identification of any other party
6 to a particular transaction until after a transaction has been completed.

Thus, in the known prior art system, confidential counterparty credit
8 limit data is maintained in real time and utilized as part of the trade matching
process by a central host computer. As a consequence, each client site has
10 no way to determine, prior to committing to buy or sell at a displayed price
from one or more anonymous counterparties, whether it is in fact eligible to
12 respond to any of the bids or offers currently being displayed. The client
site is connected to the central host computer by telecommunication lines;
14 the host computer is not under the direct control of the party providing the
confidential credit limit data and thus provides potential opportunities for
16 unauthorized access to the credit information, even though the host
computer does not utilize the credit information until a match has been
18 found between a Buyer and a Seller.

Consequently, until he attempts to execute a trade at the best price
20 currently displayed on his screen, a trader using the prior art anonymous
matching system has no way of knowing whether he has credit with, and
22 is willing to extend credit to, the anonymous counterparty offering (bidding)
the best price currently displayed on his screen and thus whether any
24 attempt to buy or sell at the displayed price will be subsequently invalidated
by the system for lack of such credit.

26

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

28 It is an overall objective of the present invention to provide an
anonymous trading system which can identify the best bids and offers from
30 those counterparties with which each client site is currently eligible to deal,
while maintaining the anonymity of the potential counterparty and the
32 confidentiality of any specific credit limitations imposed by the anonymous

potential counterparty.

2 To that end, each client site preferably provides the system with only
4 limited credit information for each potential counterparty (for example, a one
6 bit flag indicating whether a predetermined limit has already been exceeded)
8 and each bid or offer for a particular type of financial instrument is
10 preferably prescreened by the system for compatibility with that limited
12 credit information before calculating an anonymous "Dealable" price for
14 presentation to any of the traders dealing with that particular financial
16 instrument.

18 In a presently preferred embodiment, the prescreening is a simple
20 check to determine whether any credit remains between the two possible
22 counterparties to the potential transaction, and thus may be performed using
24 a simple yes/no Preauthorization Matrix before any bid or offer is transmitted
26 to a particular client site.

28 In accordance with a preferred embodiment, such Preauthorization
30 Matrices are maintained at each of several regional nodes ("distribution
32 nodes") of a distributed processing communication network, with each such
34 distribution node being connected by corresponding individual permanent
36 links of the network to those client sites ("access nodes") for which it is
38 responsible for distributing market information including customized
40 "Dealable" bid and offer prices in addition to global "Best" prices.

42 More particularly, in the preferred embodiment, the sensitive credit
44 limit data indicating how much credit a particular client site is willing to
46 extend to each possible counterparty is maintained only at an access node
48 associated only with that particular client, and only a simple yes/no
50 indication of whether the entity (for example, a trader, a trading floor, or a
52 bank) associated with that particular access node is willing to transact
54 business with a particular counterparty is transmitted to the other nodes of
56 the communication network.

58 To further limit the data received and processed by each of the
60 relevant regional node computers, (ie, the distribution nodes closest to the
62 particular site and/or closest to the particular counterparty), only changes in

the credit state between a particular access node and a particular counterparty (ie, credit is no longer available or credit is now available) are transmitted to the distribution nodes, and any credit state information only relevant to transactions between two client sites both associated with other distribution nodes, may be altogether ignored.

In a preferred embodiment of the system as currently contemplated, if either of the two applicable limits has not already been exceeded between a particular pair of counterparties, the system displays the entire bid or offer as a "Dealable" transaction, but permits each client site to block any above-limit portion of any resultant buy or sell transaction during a subsequent deal execution/verification process. Alternatively, possibly at the option of the party by or for whom the low limit has been set, the entire transaction could be executed, or the entire transaction could be blocked. As a second alternative, the Preauthorization Matrix could indicate whether sufficient credit remained to execute a predetermined "standard" deal amount in addition to, or instead of, a mere indication as to whether any credit from a particular potential counterparty had already been used up. In such an alternate embodiment it might also be possible to display to each trader two "Dealable" prices: one at which at least the predetermined "standard" amount is available, and a second price at which only a "Small" amount may be available.

As currently contemplated, each of the regional nodes transmits both a Best current price (for which a predetermined minimum quantity is available independent of any credit constraints) and a best Dealable price (for which at least limited credit is presumably available on a bilateral basis with at least one of the counterparties making the bid or offer), as well as a "Small" indicator that may indicate a thin potential market in which that predetermined minimum quantity is not available at any price from any counterparty with whom the trader is eligible to deal, but nevertheless a smaller quantity is available from one or more of such eligible counterparties. In determining whether such a predetermined minimum quantity is available, the system may consider composite deals from more than one Maker or at

more than one price, in which case the displayed price is preferably the least
2 advantageous price included in the best such composite deal. In an
4 alternative embodiment, the system does not take into account such
composite deals when displaying a price, but still identifies the oldest quote
6 at the best price as a potential match, thereby giving the traders the benefit
of any price advantages for smaller sizes.

In accordance with another aspect of the preferred embodiment, at
8 least the first Maker having an open quote that is displayable as the "Best
Dealable" or "Regular Dealable" at any of the other trading floors is
10 automatically alerted that his bid (offer) quotation is the Best price available
to at least one potential counterparty with whom mutual credit exists, and
12 thus could be hit (taken) at any time. Similarly, at least if the quoter's bid
(offer) quote is not currently the first Best quote at at least one trading floor
14 and is thus subject to immediately being hit (taken) by a trader at that
trading floor, he is preferably also alerted if his quote is "joined" (ie, equal
16 to in price, but later in time) to such a "Best Dealable" or "Regular Dealable"
price from another trading floor.

18 Preferably, in accordance with another aspect of the invention, the
system also determines whether a Quote has been "bettered"; that is to say,
20 no longer qualifies as a Dealable quote (or joined to such a quote) at at least
one potential counterparty. In that case, at the trader's option, the system
22 will automatically cancel such a bettered quote.

In accordance with yet another aspect of the invention, the displayed
24 Dealable price (unless accompanied by the "Small" indicator) is valid for at
least a predetermined minimum quantity (which, as noted previously, may
26 be a composite of small sizes from more than one source, or which always
reflects a regular size from only one source, depending on system design
28 tradeoffs and/or the trader preferences) and only prices and not quantities
are displayed. However, assuming that the Best Dealable price for a regular
30 quantity is greater than the best Small price, each trader may optionally
select which of the two such Dealable prices is displayed.

32 When a "buy" or "sell" is made for a quantity in excess of the

2 cumulative applicable credit limits associated with the counterparties having
4 open quotes equal to or better than the displayed price and thus the completed transaction is for a cumulative quantity smaller than desired by
6 the trader, the trader preferably then has the option of "working the balance" (in which the system automatically generates a bid/offer for the difference).

8 In accordance with yet another aspect of the invention, changes in the Dealable price information and specifics of any subsequent transactions
10 initiated by the trader are optionally vocalized electronically by the trader's terminal and provided to the trader in audible form, together with succinct details of any subsequent transactions. For example: Whenever there is a
12 change in the Dealable "Buy" price, the least significant digits of that price are electronically converted to text which in turn is converted to digital
14 speech using conventional speech synthesis circuitry.

16 The above description refers to the processing and distribution of data as though they were instantaneous processes; it will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that some delay is inherent in the type of system
18 described, and that as a consequence, the information available at a particular processing node does not always reflect the most current
20 information available anywhere in the system. However, at least in a preferred embodiment, any such delays in the display of Dealable price
22 information may be kept within acceptable limits by transmitting only changes over the communication network, by using several processing
24 nodes operating in parallel to compute the Dealable price information for different Trading Floors and/or different currencies, and by providing
26 dedicated communication links between each processing node and its associated Trading Floors.

28

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

30 Other objects and features of the present invention will be apparent from the following description of a presently preferred embodiment taken in
32 connection with the accompanying drawings, in which:

Fig 1 is an overview of the Communication Network and the various
workstations and processing nodes associated therewith;
Fig 2 depicts the trader's Buy/Sell display;
Fig 3 depicts the trader's Quote display;
Fig 4 depicts the Trader Profile Display;
Fig 5 shows the flow of messages in the communication network which are
used to generate and distribute Dealable price information to each
individual trader;
FIG 6 depicts a Preauthorization Matrix; and
Fig 7 is a functional flowchart showing how the Dealable price is
computed.

12

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

In the described embodiment, the trading system is an electronic brokerage system having a communication network for facilitating the buying and selling of large blocks of foreign currency by traders each associated with his own Workstation ("WS") located at a Trading Floor of a subscriber bank ("client site"). As shown in Fig 1, each client site has its dedicated client site computer ("Market Access Node", or "MAN") under the control of a Floor Administrator, which maintains transaction records, credit limits, and other confidential information originating with its associated Trading Floor. The WS's and the MAN associated with each Trading Floor are connected via a conventional self-repairing DEC VAX network to a nearby distribution node ("Market Distributor" or "MD") computer, which typically analyzes and distributes current market data by means of dedicated permanent communication links to one or more associated MAN's in a particular city (or other local region), and which may also provide administrative functions for the communication network. Although not considered critical to the present invention, a group of MD's is preferably supplemented by a common trading region processing node ("Arbitrator Node" or "ARB"), with the ARB performing those functions (such as identifying potential matches between Buyers and Sellers, and other aspects

of the "Deal Matching" process that require coordination with more than one
2 client site) which make the most efficient use of the communication network
if done centrally or regionally, while the MD's perform those functions (such
4 as generation of separate Dealable price information for each individual client
site) which are readily implemented in parallel in a distributed processing
6 network and which make most efficient use of the communication if done
locally or in close proximity to the individual client sites.

8 In that regard, it is preferable to have more than one ARB, with each
ARB having primary responsibility for trades initiated by Market Makers in
10 the ARB's own trading region, and being connected to all the MAN's and
MD's of that Trading Region as well as to the other ARB's in other trading
12 regions by permanent dedicated links of the communication network. In the
majority of deals, it is anticipated that both the Maker and the Taker will be
14 within the same Trading Region and thus will be directly linked to the same
ARB which can therefore identify a potential match and coordinate its final
16 execution without any communication with the other ARB's; at the same
18 time, the other ARB's can simultaneously be processing deals related to
other traders in other regions. Connecting the various ARB's with one
20 another by dedicated permanent communication links not only facilitates
deals with a Taker in a remote trading region who does not normally have
any direct link to the Maker's ARB, but also provides an efficient
22 communication network for broadcasting price quotes to all the traders in
other trading regions. Preferably, the various MD's, MAN's and ARB's are
24 each provided with a local backup already connected to the communication
network in accordance with the teachings of the commonly assigned US
26 patent application entitled "ACTIVATION OF A DORMANT SIBLING
COMPUTER IN A COMMUNICATION NETWORK" and filed 9 Nov 1990
28 under serial number 07/612,0451; however, it is also possible, albeit
wasteful of communication resources, to establish temporary communication
30 links between the MAN's and MD's of one trading area and an ARB in a
remote trading area, so that the remote ARB can function as an emergency
32 backup for the local ARB. In any event, the system is preferably provided

2 with a self-test and re-initialization capability to detect discrepancies
4 between the local data bases maintained at each of the local (MD) and
8 regional (ARB) processing nodes, and to regenerate missing or questionable
12 data from corresponding data stored at other nodes.

6 Whether the communication links between nodes are permanent
8 (maintained indefinitely between two network components) or temporary
10 (established dynamically for a short period of time) they are preferably
12 "logical links" which have the property that messages sent in a certain order
14 over the same logical link are guaranteed to reach their destination in the
16 same order. Moreover, the communication network is preferably provided
18 with sufficient error detection, error correction, and network self-repair
20 capability to guarantee that messages sent via these logical links are error
22 free.

14 In summary, each MAN is connected to other MAN's by a robust
16 communication network which connects the various Trading Floors and
18 which supplements the MAN's with a number of processing nodes
20 (preferably in the form of MD's and ARB's) to facilitate the distribution of
22 price quotations and other market data and to execute transactions by
24 matching eligible Market Makers with eligible Buyers and Sellers and by
26 monitoring the transactions until they have been completed or aborted, with
28 the MAN's being responsible for Trading Floor specific tasks such as logging
30 the completed transaction and updating the credit limit that was previously
32 available to the counterparty Trading Floor.

24 The structure and function of the trader WS's, MAN's, MD's, and
26 ARB's will now be described in detail, with particular emphasis on how they
28 cooperate to distribute price quotes (bids and offers) from a Market Maker
30 to potential Takers throughout the system. In the prior art, such quotes
32 were made available to the individual traders merely in the form of one or
 more public best prices for each currency pair (or other financial instrument
 type) then being offered by any Market Maker, without regard for any
 confidential credit restrictions imposed by the Maker or by the potential
 Taker that may prevent any deal being consummated. However, in

accordance with the present invention, each trader receives a private
2 Dealable price, which the system has prescreened for the absence of any
credit restrictions that would prevent the trader on whose WS the Dealable
4 price is displayed from dealing with an anonymous Market Maker from
whom the displayed price originates.

6 As shown in FIGS 2 through 4, each WS supports a single trader
trading in a single currency pair, and its display thus provides one or two
8 panels containing only information which a typical trader would consider
essential to trading in that currency pair by means of an anonymous
10 brokerage system. However, the blank portion of the WS display could
obviously be used for other data related to another currency pair and/or
12 another type of transaction. Furthermore, the displayed panel could be a
window within a larger display that also displays data from other information
14 distribution and transaction processing systems.

Fig 2 shows the trader's Buy/Sell display panel 10 which provides the
16 primary interface between the electronic brokerage system and the individual
traders. At the top of the display appears the currency pair 12 (as shown,
18 the Base Currency is United States dollars, Local Currency is German
marks), and at the top right, the "Value Date" 14 (the date on which any
20 resultant trade is scheduled for payment). The next line comprises (from left
to right) : the "Figure" (the most significant three or four digits) 16 of the
22 "Sell" ("bid") price, conventionally expressed in units of Local Currency (eg,
DM 1.72) per single unit of Base Currency (eg, US\$1.00); two additional
24 digits 18,20 (commonly referred to as "Pips") which respectively reflect the
remaining two or three least significant digits of the displayed "Best" bid and
26 offer prices (ie, the best price at which at least one anonymous Market
Maker is willing to buy the Local Currency, and the best price at which at
28 least one anonymous Market Maker is willing to sell the Local Currency); and
the "Figure" 22 of the "Best" offer price.

30 A Market Maker will always want to purchase a commodity at a lower
price than the price at which he is willing to sell the same commodity and
32 his bid price will thus be less than his offer price. Moreover, the trading

system is preferably able to "automatch" a bid price from one Maker with
2 an equal or lower offer price from another Maker, assuming that the two
Makers have sufficient credit with each other. Accordingly, the displayed
4 "Best price" 18,20 will normally reflect a price spread in which the offer
price is equal to or higher than the bid price; however at times it may reflect
6 an "Arbitrage Opportunity" in which a third party having credit with the two
Makers is able to Buy at a lower offer price from one Maker and sell at a
8 higher bid price to the other Maker.

Furthermore, there is no requirement that a Market Maker must
10 always quote both a bid price and an offer price for the same quantity of the
Local Currency, or that if a bid (or offer) price is accepted by a Seller (or
12 Buyer), any corresponding offer (or bid) price from that Maker will be
(preferably at the trader's option) automatically withdrawn. Thus it is also
14 possible that an offer price but no bid price (or vice versa) will be displayed
as the Best price 18,20 at the top of the display.

16 As presently contemplated, the displayed Best bid and offer prices
18,20 are each valid for at least a predetermined quantity of currency (for
18 example five million US dollars) from a single source. Since such a quantity
may be available at the displayed price in a composite transaction involving
20 more than one Maker and more than one price, it is possible that at least a
portion of the transaction could be executed at a better price than the
22 displayed Best price 18,20.

In accordance with the invention, a "Dealable" bid and/or offer price
24 is derived only from those bids or offers from other Trading Floors which
have been prescreened for at least some nominal level of remaining credit
26 from the potential Maker to the potential Taker and vice versa, and at least
the "Pips" 24,26 portion of the Dealable price is prominently displayed or
28 otherwise communicated to the potential Taker.

In the illustrated example, the associated Taker (and any other
30 USD/DEM traders on his Trading Floor) is thus eligible to Sell German marks
("DEM") at the bid rate of 1.7210 marks per dollar ("USD"), or to Buy at the
32 offer rate of 1.7217 marks per dollar, and the system has already verified

that the displayed Dealable price 24,26 is currently available from one or
2 more anonymous Makers with whom the trader is currently still eligible to
deal, and that those eligible Makers are willing (either collectively or
4 individually, depending on the trader preferences and/or design tradeoffs
mentioned previously) to sell (or buy) at least the same predetermined
6 minimum quantity of the particular Local Currency involved as was used to
determine the displayed Best price 18,20. Thus, a "regular" displayed
8 Dealable price 24,26 will never be better than the displayed Best price
18,20; if worse than the Best price 18,20, this is an indication that the
10 trader is barred by credit limitations from obtaining the best price that is
then theoretically available. Indeed, because credit is established bilaterally,
12 it is possible that the Maker (or Makers) behind the Best price have extended
credit only to Trading Floors who currently have not extended any credit to
14 those particular Makers, and thus that none of the traders at any of the
client sites will see a Dealable price equal to the displayed Best price.

16 The foregoing implicitly assumes that the Best price 18, 20 and the
Dealable price 24,26 are sufficiently close that the Figures 16, 22 are
18 unaffected. If that is not the case, it is preferable that the displayed Figures
correspond to the corresponding most significant digits of the displayed
20 Dealable prices; if the "Pips" of the Best price are worse than the
corresponding least significant digits of a displayed Dealable price, it will be
22 apparent that the corresponding Figure of the Dealable price should be
incremented or decremented by at least one digit to obtain the Best price.

24 Moreover, as with the Best price, it is possible that at least a small
quantity is available from one or more Makers at a better price than that
26 which is currently displayed as a regular Dealable price (or even that a better
price becomes available after the price is displayed but before the Taker's
28 Buy or Sell request is processed), in which case the trader may receive or
pay an effective average price that is somewhat better than the currently
30 displayed Dealable price. This will be the case whether the system has
executed a single transaction only with a second Maker with whom the
32 better price originated, or a composite transaction with that second Maker

and the Maker with whom the displayed price originated.

2 Instead of a regular Dealable price, a Small price may be displayed,
4 preferably identified as such (for example, by the letter "S") 28 and which
6 differs from the regular Dealable price in that only a relatively small quantity
8 is collectively available at any price from those Makers with whom the trader
10 currently is eligible to deal. For example, if deal size is expressed in units of
one million dollars, the Best price and the regular Dealable price may each
represent available deals having a potential aggregate value (not necessarily
all from the same trader) of at least 5 million dollars, while a Small price
represents available deals having a maximum potential value of between 1
and 4 million dollars.

12 Among the options available to each trader through his Trader Profile
14 panel (see Fig 4) is the ability to display either the regular Dealable price as
described above, which is good for at least the previously mentioned
predetermined minimum quantity (eg, at least 5 million dollars), or a best
16 Dealable price which is the best price available to that trader for even a
nominal minimum quantity (eg, only 1 million dollars).

18 Preferably, the trader has also previously specified a default
transaction quantity using his Trader Profile Screen and the displayed
20 Dealable price functions as the default value for the trader's requested
transaction price. Thus, the trader may quickly and accurately respond to
22 a new Dealable bid or offer price by merely activating a Buy button 34 or a
Sell button 36, respectively on the screen, assuming that the display is
24 touch sensitive or is provided with a "mouse" or other pointing device;
alternatively the Trader may use a small custom keypad having dedicated
26 function keys for the various functions and dedicated size keys for various
predetermined deal sizes as well as conventional numerical, tab and cursor
28 keys. If the desired quantity 30, 32 is not available at the displayed
Dealable price, as will be discussed in more detail with respect to FIGS 3
30 and 4, any missed quantity may be the subject of a subsequent "working
the balance" bid or offer.

32 In any event, the system gives the trader accepting a Dealable price

the benefit of any undisplayed Small price from an eligible counterparty that
2 is "behind" (ie, equal to or better than) the Dealable price. In that case, the
buy or sell request would be executed as two trades, one at the undisplayed
4 Small price, and the other at the displayed Dealable price.

As noted previously, the trading is performed electronically, and when
6 a bid price is equal to or greater than an offer price, the two will normally be
automatically matched, with the system automatically allocating any price
8 difference between the two trader's in accordance with previously agreed
trading rules. Thus it is not likely that a displayed bid price 18,24 will be
10 greater than a displayed offer price 20,26. However, if two traders are
barred from dealing with each other because of credit limit restrictions but
12 are both free to deal with a third trader, it is still possible that the third
trader will be presented with an arbitrage opportunity.

14 When the Dealable price 24,26 originates with the trader himself (or
another trader on the same Floor), the trader is preferably alerted to that fact
16 by displaying his price as the Dealable price, but in a visually distinctive
manner and with the corresponding Buy or Sell function 34, 36 optionally
18 inhibited. Thus, his Trading Floor may be prevented from in effect dealing
with or against itself.

20 In accordance with another aspect of the invention, the displayed
price data may optionally be "vocalized": whenever there is a change in the
22 displayed prices, the numerical data is electronically converted to text which
in turn is converted to digital speech using conventional speech synthesis
24 circuitry. In that event, it is preferable that only the "Pips" of the "Dealable"
price quotes are announced (unless, due to a large spread, this would result
26 in an ambiguous price), so that the trader will not be assaulted with
nonessential information. Thus, the vocalized information will normally
28 include only the least significant digits (Pips) of the displayed Dealable bid
and offer prices, as well as status changes for any recent quotes or hits
30 initiated by the trader. An exemplary trading scenario and the resultant
vocalized communications is presented in Table 1.

	Trader Action	Broadcast	Maker only	Taker only
2	Deable price has Bid and offer	10-17		
4	Bid side only	10 bid		
6	Bid size small	10-17 Small Bid		
8	Offer side only	17 Offered		
10	Offer size small	10-17 Small Offer		
12	Bid and Offer Small	10-17 in Small		
14	Bid/Offer Pips = "00"	Figure		
16	Bid = Offer	10 Choice		
18	No Bid/Offer available	Dollar/Mark please		
20	Deable Bid/Offer removed	Bid/Offer out		
22	Bid and Offer removed	Off the Price		
24	Price change for Bid and Offer (worse only)	Change		
26	You enter a Quote	Bid/Offer/Price Posted		
28	Your Quote is best	Your Bid/Offer/Price		
30	Your Quote is Bettered by another Trader	14 Bid/Offered inside you		
32				

Table 1

	Trader Action	Broadcast	Maker only	Taker only
32	P>You cancel Quote		Bid/Offer/Price canceled	
30	Your Quote Joined by another trader		Bid/Offer/Price joined	
28	You Join a Quote from another trader		You join the Bid/Offer/Price	
26	Your Quote is no longer Joined		Your Bid/Offer/Price only	
24	Bid/Offer/Price you joined hit, but yours available	10 Given (Small)	Still watching your Bid/Offer/Price	
22	Your Bid is hit	17 Paid (Small)	10 yours from Bank X You Sell 10 to Bank Y	
20	Your Offer is Taken		You Sell 10 to Bank X You Buy 10 from Bank Y	
18			Working Your Balance of X	
16			Still working your Bid/Offer/Price	
14			Missed it	
12			Working your Balance of X	
10				
8				
6				
4				
2				

Fig 3 shows how the display is transformed when the trader is not content to assume a passive role in which he merely reacts to deals solicited by other traders, but rather wishes to assume for himself the role of a Market Maker. In that case, a Maker's "Quote" panel 40 appears below the Taker's "Buy/Sell" panel 10 of Fig 2, so that the trader may act both as a Maker and as a Taker, using a pointing device or a designated key of an alphanumeric keyboard to move between the two panels. The Figures 42, 44 and Pips 46, 48 have the same function as in the Taker's panel, and take their respective initial values from the current Best price. As in Fig 2, the bid information is on the left, while the offer information is on the right. Immediately below the price information, the trader is presented with the relevant bid and offer quantities 48,50, conventionally expressed in millions of US dollars. When the Maker's panel first appears, these quantities assume default values (10,10) established by the trader's personal trading profile. The trader is able to change any "Pips" or "quantity" amount shown on the Maker's panel by means of a numerical keyboard, using the enter key to move from field to field. Alternately, changes in the numerical data could be entered by selecting the field with a mouse or other pointing device, with a single click indicating an upward increment of one Pip and a double click indicating a downward increment of one Pip. Once the trader has changed the displayed numerical information to his satisfaction, he may then send a double sided bid/offer quote message (QuoteSubmit, Fig 5) either by activating Send button 52 with a mouse or a conventional tab key and enter key, or by using a dedicated Send key on the trader's keyboard (not shown). Optionally, the trader may also send a single-side bid or offer, using the Bid button 54 or Offer button 56 instead of the Send button 52. Once the quote has been transmitted, an optional timer (not shown) may provide a visible and/or audible indication that a predetermined exposure time has elapsed. In addition, the trader may specify in his Trader Profile panel (Fig 4) an optional automatic quote interrupt, whereby the quote is withdrawn once a predetermined maximum exposure time has been reached. At any time, the trader may use the Off button 60 to withdraw his current

quote, whereupon he may compose and send a new quote.

2 Preferably, as will be discussed in additional detail with respect to
Fig 7, at the same time the system uses the quote and any associated credit
4 prescreening information to determine the dealable prices to be displayed at
each potential counterparty, the system also determines whether the current
6 quote is equal to the Dealable price (either Best Dealable or Regular Dealable)
available to any potential counterparty with whom bilateral credit currently
8 exists, and if so, alerts the quoter that the displayed price has priority in
time over any other available quote for that deal size (regular or small) from
10 other trading floors and is subject to immediately being hit/taken (a "Red
Dealable" quote, preferably indicated by red background) or is equal to such
12 a Red Dealable (regular or small) quote from another trading floor (a "Joined"
quote, preferably indicated by a = sign and a yellow background).

14 As shown in Fig 4, each trader can call up a "Trader Profile" screen
70 to select his current trading currency 72, and also to establish or modify
16 his personal default values for normal and maximum trading size 74, 76 and
price time limit 78, and his preferences regarding optional automated trading
18 protocols 78, such as canceling a quoted price that has been partially dealt
(only a portion of the available size was matched with a qualified
20 counterparty) or bettered (is not equal to the Best Dealable price for that size
that is currently available to any potential counterparty with whom bilateral
22 credit still exists). As noted previously, the Trader may also elect to display
the Regular 80 or Best 82 Dealable price, and the system also provides the
24 trader with various options 82 for automatically "working the balance" in the
event the trader attempts to buy or sell a particular quantity at the displayed
26 Dealable price but misses the deal in whole ("complete") or in part
("partial"). Depending upon the particular option selected, the system
28 automatically generates and transmits a bid at the last Dealable offer price
if the trader was not able to buy the full quantity desired, for a quantity
30 equal to the difference between the desired quantity and the quantity
actually traded.

32 In addition, a Floor Administrator (preferably for internal security

reasons a bank employee free of any trading responsibilities) has his own WS with a Floor Profile screen (not shown) which includes an option to prevent his own traders from trading with each other, and a maximum Business Day Credit Limit for each eligible counterparty which represents the maximum cumulative value of trades that may be executed by all traders of the Trading Floor with the designated counterparty. Alternately, the system could combine transactions from related Trading Floors, in which case the Floor Profile could merely identify a common credit facility having a single credit limit for each Trading Floor or groups of Trading Floors. The Floor Administrator also selects a warning percentage which the system uses to broadcast a warning message to the Administrator and all the traders on a given Floor that a particular counterparty has utilized a specified percentage of its available credit, in which case the traders may wish to alter their trading strategies and/or the Floor Administrator may choose to raise the applicable credit limit.

Fig 5 shows the flow of electronic messages relating to the distribution of customized Dealable price information to each Trading Floor. The trader at WS A1a1 of Trading Floor A1a uses his Price Quotation (Market Maker) Panel (Fig 3) to generate a QuoteSubmit message to the Trading Floor's MAN (MAN A1a) in the form of a bid to buy at 1.7215 and an offer to sell at 1.7216. The MAN in turn logs the QuoteSubmit as two open quotations (one, if a single-sided quote) and forwards the QuoteSubmit message to the Maker's Arbitrator Node (ARB A) whose assigned geographical Trading Region includes the Maker's Trading Floor A1a1. In turn, ARB A updates an ordered list of available bids and an ordered list of available offers for the relevant currency pair, each ranked by price and time of receipt (preferably at the Maker's ARB), and containing data fields for indicating the Quantity (preferably expressed in Base Currency units) of the Local Currency still available for purchase or sale, and the Quantity reserved by the Arbitrator pending completion or failure of a pending deal resulting from a potential match initiated by the arbitrator and not yet confirmed by the Maker and Taker. A corresponding QuoteAvailable message is then

transmitted from the Maker's ARB A to the MD's in its Trading Region
2 MD A1, MD A2, and to the other ARB's for eventual distribution to the
MD's and MAN's of other Trading Regions.

4 Each MAN (for example, MAN A1b) also transmits a CreditUpdate
message to its associated ARB (ARB A) whenever the credit status for any
6 of its potential counterparties (for example, A1a or A2a) changes from
CreditAvailable to CreditNotAvailable or vice versa, which the ARB then
8 retransmits to its affected MD's and to the other affected ARB's.

The MD's then use the information in the received CreditUpdate
10 messages to maintain a Preauthorization Matrix PM such as that shown in
Fig 6. The rows and columns of the matrix PM are associated with the
12 various Trading Floors A1a, A1b, A2a, etc (including any Floors in other
Trading Regions) and for each ordered pair of Trading Floors {TF_i, TF_j}
14 contains an indication as to whether TF_i has extended any credit to TF_j. In
the depicted example, credit exists on a bilateral basis between TFA1 and
16 TFA2, no credit exists between TFA1 and TFB1, and credit has been
extended unilaterally from TFA2 to TFB1, but not vice versa (as indicated
18 by the "1" at the intersection of row TFB1 with column TFA2 and the "0"
at the corresponding intersection of column TFB1 with row TFA2). From
20 the main diagonal of the matrix it can be seen that only TFA2 permits its
own traders to trade between themselves, as indicated by the "1" at the
22 intersection of row TFA2 with column TFA2. Preferably, each MD only
24 maintains a partial Preauthorization Matrix containing data only regarding
credit extended from or to its associated MAN's to the other potential
counterparties (MAN's) in the system. Thus, as indicated in Fig 6 by cross
26 hatching, some of the matrix entries may be blank.

The MD's use the QuoteAvailable messages to update their own
28 ordered lists of available bids and offers; these ordered lists and the
above-described Preauthorization Matrix are then used by the MD to
30 calculate separate Dealable prices for each Trading Floor which are
transmitted as MarketView messages to the affected MAN's, as will be
32 described in more detail hereinafter with reference to Fig 7. In addition, the

MD's and/or ARB's use a similar procedure to identify which quotes from
2 which trading floors are "Red Dealable" or "Joined" or "Bettered": A quote
4 is "Red Dealable" only if it is the basis (best in price and time of the bids or
offers originating from trading floors with which bilateral credit is still
6 available) for a "Dealable" price displayed at one or more trading floors; once
the "Red Dealable" quotes have been identified, the other quotes may be
8 readily categorized as either "Joined" (if not first in time) or "Bettered" (if
not best in price).

The actual deal is executed using a known two-stage commitment
10 logging process (not shown) in which DealVerify and DealVerifyOk
messages are logged by and transmitted from the Maker's MAN to the
12 Taker's MAN and vice versa, after each MAN has verified that the other
party to the deal has been extended sufficient credit by the Man's
14 associated Trading Floor to cover the full deal quantity (otherwise the deal
is aborted or is cut back in quantity). As an additional precaution, the
16 Maker's MAN also checks that the quote has not been interrupted and that
the WS from which the quote originated is still on-line, before any DealVerify
18 or DealVerifyOk message is transmitted to the other Trading Floor
(equivalently, each WS involved could perform its own logging and
20 communicate directly with the other WS; however, this would complicate
any subsequent automated deal recovery or rollback). A suitable logging,
22 verification, and recovery/rollback process is disclosed in further detail in the
commonly assigned US patent application filed on 2 November 1990 under
24 serial number 07/608,643 and entitled "Financial EXCHANGE SYSTEM
HAVING AUTOMATED RECOVERY/ROLLBACK OF UNACKNOWLEDGED
26 ORDERS". In any event, it should be understood that each ARB also
maintains a log of potential matches which have not yet been verified or
28 canceled by the affected MAN's, and that if these potential matches are not
resolved within a predetermined time period, a similar automated
30 rollback/recovery process can update the ARB's log on the basis of the logs
maintained by the affected MAN's (for example, the match may be
32 automatically canceled (rolled back) if either MAN does not have a

corresponding entry in its respective log).

2 Fig 7 sets forth a possible implementation of how the MD computes
4 a Dealable bid for a particular currency currently available to a particular
6 Trading Floor, which may then be transmitted in the form of a MarketView
8 message to that Trading Floor. Preferably, in order to avoid the transmission
10 of redundant information and consequent excessive loading of the
12 communication network, these MarketView messages are transmitted to a
14 particular MAN only in response to a change in the Dealable price for the
16 associated Trading Floor. Moreover, it may be more efficient to transmit
18 information only for those active currency pairs which the Trading Floor's
MAN has previously identified to its MD in an ActiveCurrencyPair message.

12 As indicated symbolically in Fig 7 at block 100, the MD first selects
14 the particular Trading Floor and Currency Pair for which the Dealable bid and
16 offer price is to be computed (Fig 7 shows only the computation of the
18 Dealable bid price; a similar computation is then performed for the Dealable
offer price before a new Trading Floor and/or Currency is selected). The MD
then resets (block 102) the counters, registers and flags (i, P, Q, S) it will
use in the computation of the Dealable price P.

20 What follows is a loop controlled by counter i, which points to the
22 entries in the particular ordered Quote List associated with the Currency Pair
and transaction type (bid or offer) then being processed, and which is
incremented at the beginning of the loop (block 104). If the end of the
Quote List has already been reached (Yes branch from decision block 106),
the current values of the price P and the associated Small flag S are
transmitted to the Trading Floor TF, together with an indication of the
current Currency Pair CP (as previously noted, no such transmission is
required if the price and Small flag for that Currency Pair to that Trading
Floor are unchanged). However, if the end of the Quote List has not already
been reached (No branch from decision block 106) the next quote is then
read (block 110) and the relevant entries in the Preauthorization Matrix for
the current Trading Floor (TF) and the Maker of the current quote (Mi) are
then checked to determine if any previously extended credit has already

been exhausted (decision blocks 112, 114). If either entry is "0" (indicating no credit available) control passes to the beginning of the loop (block 104), for processing of any remaining quotes in the applicable list.

However, if both relevant entries of the Preauthorization Matrix are "1" (indicating at least some credit is available on a bilateral basis between the current Trading Floor TF and the Maker M_j from which the current quote Q_j originated), the price P_i associated with quote Q_j is loaded into the price register P , and the associated Available Quantity Q_j is added to the contents of the Quantity register Q (block 116), which is then tested (decision block 118) to determine if at least a predetermined minimum quantity (eg, 5) is available to the current Trading Floor TF; otherwise control passes to the beginning of the loop (block 102) and the process is repeated.

Assuming that the predetermined minimum quantity is available (Yes branch from block 118), the Small flag is reset to 0, and control passes to block 108, whereby the Dealable price is transmitted and the process is repeated.

It will be understood that the above assumes that a regular dealable price may be based on a potential composite deal which is based only on quotes for a small size of the currency in question and that a small dealable price is to be displayed only if such a regular dealable price is not available from any qualified counterparty or combination of qualified counterparties; if as mentioned previously it is desired to display only regular dealable prices from a single source and/or to give each trader the option of displaying either the Best Dealable price or the Regular Dealable price, then it may be necessary to scan the available quotes twice (once for the best available regular sized quote, once for the best available quote regardless of size) and/or to maintain separate registers for Best and Regular sized prices.

By using more than one MD each in the form of a dedicated computer, the computation of Dealable prices is distributed among several computers and is free from interruption by other unrelated tasks. Moreover, only a minimum amount of essential information is transmitted to the MD from its ARB, and from the MD to its MAN's. Thus even in a fast moving

market, it should be possible to provide current Dealable price information to each Trading Floor. However, if an MD is responsible for distributing Dealable prices to more than two Trading Floors, it may be preferable to use a conventional pseudorandom generator to select the next Trading Floor, thus avoiding any bias inherent in the sequence in which the Dealable price information is computed and distributed.

As mentioned previously, the MD could, at the same time it reviews the ordered list of available quotes, also identify any quote which qualifies as " Red Dealable" or "Joined" and transmit a corresponding HitAlert message to the Maker's MAN (possibly via the Taker's ARB and the Maker's ARB). Alternatively, each ARB could repeat the process of Fig 7 for each trading floor in the entire trading system, which would require more computational resources but fewer communication resources. In particular, such an alternate embodiment, as shown in Fig 5, would require at most only one HitAlert message for each bid or offer (to the Maker's MAN from the Maker's own ARB).

18

20

22

24

26

28

30

32

WE CLAIM:

1. A trading system for trading of financial instruments between traders
2 trading at a plurality of trading floors, said system comprising
4 a communication network (Fig 1) for transmitting electronic messages,
6 a plurality of trader terminals (WS) each associated with a respective one of
8 said trading floors and connected to the communication network, for
generating electronic price quotation messages (QuoteSubmit, Fig 3)
including bid and/or offer prices (Fig 3, 46,48), and
10 communicating selected bid and offer price information (Fig 2,
24,26)) based on price quotation messages (MarketView, Fig
2) originating from other said trading floors and received over
the communication network,
12 credit limit administration means (MAN, ARB) connected to the
communication network, for determining whether a predetermined
14 level of credit is currently available (CreditUpdate) from each trading
floor to each of the other trading floors, and
16 dealable price distribution means (MD) connected to said communication
network and responsive to said price quotation messages
18 (CreditUpdate) as well as to said credit limit administration means
(MAN, ARB) for transmitting dealable price messages (MarketView)
20 to the terminals (WS A1b1, WS A1b2) of at least one particular
trading floor (MAN A1b), said dealable price messages (MarketView)
22 being derived only from price quotation messages (QuoteSubmit) from
those trading floors (MAN A1a) for which said credit administration
24 means (ARB A, MAN A1a, MAN A1b) indicates said predetermined
level of credit is currently available on a bilateral basis both from and
26 to said particular trading floor.
2. The trading system of claim 1, wherein said selected price information
2 (QuoteSubmit) communicated by the terminal at said particular trading floor
comprises said dealable price information (MarketView).

3. The trading system of claim 2, wherein said dealable price information
2 (24,26) comprises the best price for a predetermined quantity (\$5m)
available from any of said trading floors (MAN) with which bilateral credit
4 currently exists.

4. The trading system of claim 3, wherein said dealable price information
2 (24,26) is the best price for said predetermined quantity (\$5m) available
from a single one of said trading floors (MAN) with which bilateral credit
4 currently exists.

5. The trading system of claim 3, wherein said dealable price information
2 (24,26) is determined from the best prices for said predetermined quantity
(\$5m) available on a composite basis from more than one of said trading
4 floors (MAN) with which bilateral credit currently exists.

6. The trading system of claim 3, wherein said dealable price information
2 further comprises the best price (24,26) for any quantity (28) available from
at least one of said trading floors with which bilateral credit currently exists.

7. The trading system of claim 6, wherein
2 trades are possible for a small quantity (28) less than said predetermined
quantity, and
4 said dealable price display means (Fig 2) displays a price (24,26) applicable
only to a small, but otherwise dealable, quantity when said
6 predetermined quantity is not available to the associated trading floor.

8. The trading system of claim 1, wherein said dealable price information
2 is communicated in the form of a visible display (Fig 2).

9. The trading system of claim 1, wherein said dealable price information
2 is communicated to at least one of the traders in the form of vocalized text
(Table 1).

10. The trading system of claim 1, further comprising
2 a plurality of access nodes (MAN) connected to the communication
4 network, including a first access node (MAN A) associated only with
6 a first trading floor and a second access node (MAN B) associated
8 only with a second trading floor, for maintaining a record of all trades
executed on behalf of an associated trading floor, and
one or more distribution nodes (MD) connected to the communication
network,
wherein
10 said access nodes (MAN) collectively comprise said credit authorization
means,
12 said distribution nodes (MD) collectively comprise said dealable price
distribution means,
14 said access nodes transmit over the communication network to said
distributor nodes credit update messages (CreditUpdate) which
16 indicate whether said predetermined level of credit is currently
available from one trading floor to another trading floor without
18 indicating the extent of any credit currently remaining above said
predetermined level,
20 said dealable price distribution means maintains at each of said distribution
nodes an ordered list (110) of at least those of said price quotation
22 messages (QuoteSubmit) which are not yet withdrawn or reserved
and a preauthorization matrix (PM, Fig 6) whose individual entries
24 collectively indicate whether or not said predetermined level of credit
is available on a reciprocal basis between each of its respective
26 trading floors and each of said plurality of trading floors,
said dealable price information (108) is transmitted from each said
28 distribution node to one or more of said access nodes for eventual
transmission to associated ones of said terminals (WS),
30 each said access node (MAN) is located on the premises of a respective
trading floor (TF) and contains only credit information originating with
32 said respective trading floor,

34 said credit limit administration means transmits an electronic credit update
36 message (CreditUpdate) to said distribution nodes (MD) whenever a predetermined minimum level of credit becomes available or is no longer available to a particular said trading floor, and
 said credit update message does not specify the amount of credit available.

2 11. The trading system of claim 10, wherein those quotes which are for a price equal to a particular said dealable price and which originate from a trading floor which has bilateral credit available with the trading floor associated with said particular dealable price are identified as "dealable quotes".

2 12. The trading system of claim 11, wherein the record of executed trades maintained by each access node is used to automatically recover or rollback pending transactions which have been identified by another node 4 as potential trades but which have not yet been fully executed or canceled.

2 13. The trading system of claim 12, wherein each quote that is identified as a dealable quote but that is not the first dealable quote at at least one trading floor is identified as a "joined" quote.

2 14. The trading system of claim 10, wherein
4 said price quotation message (QuoteSubmit) includes designation of the trading floor (TF) from which the quotation originates,
6 said trading floor designation (TF) is used by said each distribution node (MD) in deriving said dealable price messages (MarketView), and
8 said dealable price message does not include any designation of the trader or trading floor from which the quotation originates, when received by any said market access node (MAN) or trader terminal (WS).

2 15. The trading system of claim 10, wherein said current credit limit is derived from a predetermined maximum trade volume and the actual

4 cumulative trade volume between said associated trading floor and one other
trading floor dealing in a plurality of types of financial instruments.

16. The trading system of claim 15, wherein said current credit limit is a
2 combined limit applicable to a plurality of related trading floors.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3





Fig. 4

Deable Price Display (select one)	80	Regular Priority -	Best Dealable -
Currency Trading Pair	xxx/xxx	~72	82
Normal Trade Size	nnn	~74	
Maximum Trade Size	nnn	~76	
Price Time Limit	min. —	sec. —	NTL y/n ~78
Work the Balance based on (select one)	82	Complete + Partial —	Partial —
Cancel When Bettered	y/n	~78	None —
Display EBS Best	y/n		
Display EBS Deals	y/n		
Display EBS Currencies	y/n		
EBS Currencies	xxx/xxx	xxx/xxx	xxx/xxx
	xxx/xxx	xxx/xxx	xxx/xxx
	xxx/xxx	xxx/xxx	xxx/xxx




TO

FLOOR	TFA1	TFA2	TFB1	TFB2
TFA1	0	1	0	1
F				
R	TFA2	1	1	0
O				
M	TFB1	0	1	
	TFB2	1	0	

Fig. 6

Fig. 7



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No.

PCT/US 93/00916

I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all)⁶

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC

Int.C1. 5 G06F15/24

II. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum Documentation Searched⁷

Classification System	Classification Symbols
Int.C1. 5	G06F

Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation
to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched⁸III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT⁹

Category ¹⁰	Citation of Document, ¹¹ with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹²	Relevant to Claim No. ¹³
P,X	EP,A,0 512 702 (REUTERS LIMITED) 11 November 1992 see page 2, line 1 - page 3, line 32; figure 1 see page 7, line 19 - page 9, line 14 ---	1,2,8, 10,11,14
A	ELECTRONIC BANKING & FINANCE July 1990, NL pages 3 - 4 'Quotron Introduces New Foreign Exchanges Dealing System' see the whole document ---	1-6,8,10
A	COMPUTERS IN THE CITY '89. 14-16 NOVEMBER 1989, LONDON, UK. pages 53 - 61 F.J. PERKINS 'NORDEX: automated trading for Nordic equities' see the whole document ---	1,8,10 -/-

⁶ Special categories of cited documents :¹⁰^{"A"} document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance^{"E"} earlier document but published on or after the international filing date^{"L"} document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)^{"O"} document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means^{"P"} document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed^{"T"} later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention^{"X"} document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step^{"Y"} document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.^{"&"} document member of the same patent family

IV. CERTIFICATION

Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search

20 APRIL 1993

Date of Mailing of this International Search Report

29.04.93

International Searching Authority

EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE

Signature of Authorized Officer

BURNE S.R.

III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT (CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET)		
Category	Citation of Document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to Claim No.
A	EP,A,0 399 850 (REUTERS LIMITED) 28 November 1990 cited in the application see column 1, line 1 - column 6, line 27 ---	1,8,10
A	US,A,4 942 616 (LINSTROTH ET AL) 17 July 1990 see abstract -----	1,9

**ANNEX TO THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT
ON INTERNATIONAL PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

US 9300916
SA 70081

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report.

The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on

The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

20/04/93

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP-A-0512702	11-11-92	None	
EP-A-0399850	28-11-90	US-A- 5136501	04-08-92
US-A-4942616	17-07-90	None	